

Measuring Risk and Uncertainty

Michael Langemeier, Associate Director, Center for Commercial Agriculture

This article is the second in a series of articles pertaining to risk and uncertainty. This series of articles will provide an introduction to risk and uncertainty, and discuss tools and strategies that can be used to mitigate risk and uncertainty. Measuring risk and uncertainty is the focus of this article.

As noted in the first article in this series, risk represents a situation in which probability information is available. With probability information, it is possible to create a distribution of outcomes, and more importantly use common risk measures such as variability and downside risk. Uncertainty represents a situation in which probability information is not available. Under uncertainty, it is possible to list possible outcomes, but information on the probability of each outcome is not available.

One of the first steps to measuring risk is to create a distribution of possible outcomes. Figure 1 illustrates the distribution of the real contribution margin per acre for a corn/soybean rotation for a case farm in west central Indiana. The figure uses data from 1996 to 2014. The data for each year is reported in table 1. The contribution margin is computed by subtracting variable costs from gross revenue. Nominal values were converted to real 2014 dollars using the implicit price deflator for personal consumption expenditures. The extremes in figure 1 (223 on the low side and 449 on the high side) were computed by subtracting and adding one standard deviation from the average value of 336. The distribution of contribution margins in figure 1 has quite a bit of weight below the average of 336. Specifically, 12 of the 19 values are below 336. Three of these values are below the average minus one standard deviation (2003, 2004, and 2005). Five of the seven values above 336 are more than one standard deviation above the average. Years included in this category are 2007, and 2010 through 2013.

One of the most commonly used risk measures is variability. The variance or standard deviation of a set of outcomes (e.g., corn/soybean contribution margins) measures the possible dispersion of outcomes. A higher standard deviation represents a higher level of risk. Table 2 illustrates the average and standard deviation of the real contribution margin per acre and real earnings per acre for corn, soybeans, and a corn/soybean rotation for a case farm in west central Indiana. The table uses the data from 1996 to 2014 reported in table 1. Earnings per acre are computed by subtracting variable and overhead costs from gross revenue. Overhead costs include opportunity costs on operator labor, machinery costs, and land costs. Over the long-run, earnings per acre will migrate towards zero. The average and standard deviations reported in table 2 are computed using the following EXCEL functions: "average" and "stdev". The standard deviation of the contribution margin and earnings for soybeans and the rotation. Thus, using this data, corn is relatively more risky than either soybeans or the rotation.

© 2015 Purdue University

Table 2 also reports the coefficient of variation for corn, soybeans, and the corn/soybean rotation. The coefficient of variation is a relative risk measure and is computed by dividing the average by the standard deviation. A larger coefficient of variation reflects more risk. Using the coefficient of variation, corn is relatively more risky.

Another common method used to measure risk is to compute downside risk which can be measured either as the number of years for which returns are below a target, or the negative deviations from a target. Downside risk is closely related to the term "loss exposure". Loss exposure represents the amount of capital contributed to an activity a farm could lose. The natural target for earnings per acre is zero. Soybeans exhibited more years from 1996 to 2014 that had negative earnings (i.e., eight years) than corn and the corn/soybean rotation. Negative deviations for corn were greater than \$100 in both 2005 (\$121) and 2014 (\$133). In contrast, the largest negative deviation for soybeans was \$66 in 2014. To capture the magnitude of negative deviations, we can add up the negative deviations over the sample period. Total negative deviations, at -\$402, were relatively higher for corn. Given this fact, corn appears to be more risky than soybeans.

So far this article has focused on measuring risk. With uncertainty, one does not have probability information or data pertaining to possible returns. Given this fact, it is not possible to measure uncertainty in the same fashion that we measure risk. In future articles, we will discuss the development of scenarios, which are commonly used when dealing with uncertainty.

This article described two risk measures, variability and downside risk, that are commonly used. Using a case farm in west central Indiana that produced corn and soybeans, variability and downside risk were higher for corn than for soybeans. Thus, corn was more risky over the 1996 to 2014 period. Next month's article will briefly discuss different types of risk faced by farmers.



	CM	CM	CM	Earnings	Earnings	Earnings
Year	Corn So	oybeans	Rotation	Corn	Soybeans	Rotation
1996	338.31	322.61	330.46	90.67	74.97	82.82
1997	271.00	321.97	296.48	12.42	63.39	37.91
1998	231.93	242.13	237.03	-23.74	-13.54	-18.64
1999	269.40	253.33	261.37	16.03	-0.04	8.00
2000	264.96	278.94	271.95	12.38	26.36	19.37
2001	263.45	253.59	258.52	11.06	1.21	6.13
2002	296.28	254.29	275.29	40.25	-1.74	19.26
2003	211.42	231.59	221.50	-45.39	-25.23	-35.31
2004	180.08	247.01	213.54	-78.58	-11.65	-45.12
2005	138.30	233.57	185.93	-121.40	-26.13	-73.77
2006	308.75	250.51	279.63	48.20	-10.05	19.08
2007	500.65	461.61	481.13	226.70	187.66	207.18
2008	403.45	375.22	389.34	113.67	85.43	99.55
2009	350.21	366.60	358.40	46.64	63.03	54.84
2010	548.19	517.31	532.75	243.85	212.97	228.41
2011	660.41	446.06	553.24	324.37	110.02	217.20
2012	522.49	438.10	480.30	150.56	66.17	108.37
2013	403.73	500.99	452.36	3.47	100.73	52.10
2014	280.21	346.84	313.53	-132.59	-65.96	-99.28

Table 1. Real Contribution Margin and Earnings per Acre for West Central Indiana.

Table 2. Measures of Risk for Corn and Soybeans in West Central Indiana.

		Corn	Soybeans	Rotation
<u>Contribut</u>	ion Margin per Acre			
	Average	339.12	333.80	336.46
	Standard Deviation	136.52	97.26	112.97
	Coefficient of Variation	0.403	0.291	0.336
Earnings p	ber Acre			
	Average	49.40	44.08	46.74
	Standard Deviation	120.52	73.78	93.26
	Coefficient of Variation	2.440	1.674	1.995
<u>Downside</u>	<u>e Risk</u>			
	Total Negative Deviations for Earnings	401.71	154.34	272.11
	Number of Years with Negative Deviations	5	8	5