

May 9, 2019

# Phosphorus Math for Dummies

*Jim Camberato & Bob Nielsen (765-496-9338, [jcambera@purdue.edu](mailto:jcambera@purdue.edu)), [rnielsen@purdue.edu](mailto:rnielsen@purdue.edu)), Agronomy Department, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN.*

## Comparing the costs of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> in different phosphorus fertilizers?

Several reasons come to mind why we would want to calculate the value of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> in different phosphorus (P) fertilizers. One question we are asked frequently concerns the cost of 10-34-0 when used as a starter fertilizer. Can the same starter benefit be achieved with liquid N without 10-34-0? If not, what extra cost is incurred with 10-34-0? Another common question is which is a cheaper source of P; monoammonium phosphorus (MAP) or diammonium phosphate (DAP)? Maybe surprisingly, you cannot tell just from the cost per ton.

Back to the starter fertilizer question. Our recent research has shown 2x2 starter fertilizer results in lower harvest grain moisture in most situations and increased yield in some cases.<sup>1</sup> Most of our trials compared mixes of 2x2 placed urea ammonium nitrate (UAN) and 10-34-0 to a no starter control. Quite a lot of earlier research found a synergy between co-applied nitrogen (N) and P that stimulated plant growth and increased N and P uptake. In our work, we did not try to distinguish whether the P component was necessary, but there is good reason to think the combination is better than N alone. In any case, some growers want to leave it out because it increases the cost of the starter fertilizer. How much does the P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> in 10-34-0 really cost compared to P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> in typical broadcast P fertilizers – MAP, DAP or triple super phosphate (TSP)?

In the following text we outline the approach to figuring the comparative cost of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> from the different fertilizers and the accompanying Excel spreadsheet allows easy calculation of these values. Briefly, in any comparison one needs to subtract out the value of the N from the per ton cost of the fertilizer, then calculate the P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> cost. The N value is based on how much of the N applied with the P fertilizer is estimated to be available to the crop and the cost of N in an at-plant or sidedressed N application. Unfortunately, in most situations less than half of the N applied in late-fall, winter, or early-spring remains in the soil for crops planted in April and May so it should not always be fully credited. After crediting the value of N in the P fertilizer, the remaining cost of the fertilizer is attributed to the P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> content.

**If you don't want to learn how to do the calculations by hand, skip to the end of the article and download the Excel spreadsheet.**

<sup>1</sup> Summary of Starter Fertilizer Trials – 2014-2018.

<https://ag.purdue.edu/agry/extension/Documents/Soil%20Fertility/Phosphorus%20Math%20for%20Dummies.pdf>

## Comparing P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> cost from 10-34-0 and DAP

Written out below are examples comparing the cost of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> from 10-34-0 to the cost of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> from DAP, crediting 0%, 50%, or 100% of the DAP N value.

### Step 1: Calculate the cost of a pound of N from UAN.

In this example, UAN 28% costs \$285 per ton and contains 560 pounds of N. Therefore the price per pound of N is \$0.51 (\$285 per pound divided by 560 pounds).

### Step 2: Subtract the value of N in 10-34-0 from the per ton price, based on the price of UAN.

In this example, 10-34-0 costs \$495 per ton and contains 200 pounds of N and 680 pounds of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>.

200 pounds of N times \$0.51 per pound equals \$102. Thus \$393 (\$495-\$102) of the cost of a ton of 10-34-0 is attributed to the P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> content of the 10-34-0.

### Step 3: Calculate the cost of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> in 10-34-0 after subtracting out the N value.

\$393 per ton divided by 680 pounds of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> per ton equals \$0.58 per pound of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>.

## Calculating P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> cost from DAP with different credits for N

We take the same approach with MAP or DAP, except the value of the P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> is also dependent on how much of the N is likely to be available to the crop. If the fertilizer is spread shortly before planting then most of the N would be available to the crop (100% credit), but if it is spread in late-fall or early-winter, then nearly all of the N could disappear before the crop needs it (0% N credit).

For example consider DAP (18-46-0) at \$490 per ton. DAP contains 360 pounds of N per ton and 920 pounds of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> per ton.

### Calculating P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> cost from DAP with 0% N credit:

If 0% of the N is credited from a fall application of DAP, then calculating the cost of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> in MAP or DAP is easy - just divide the cost of the fertilizer by its P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> content (identical to Step 1 above, except based on the P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> content and per ton price, only).

\$490 per ton divided by 920 pounds of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> per ton equals \$0.53 per pound of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>.

### Calculating P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> cost from DAP with 100% N credit:

If none of the N is lost from DAP the calculation is identical to that for 10-34-0 above (Step 1-3). First subtract out the value of N from the per ton cost and then assign the remaining cost to the P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> content of the fertilizer. With these prices, and no N loss, the cost of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> from DAP is \$0.33 per pound.

