

## BCHM Thesis Advisory Committee Student Progress / Committee Feedback

Student:

Meeting Date:

Major Professor:

Next Meeting:

**Please use the drop down to select the rating on the following categories**

5 = Outstanding 4 = Good 3 = Satisfactory 2 = Needs Improvement 1 = Below Minimal Expectations

Overall Depth of Knowledge

Research Progress

Contribution to Experimental Design and Project

Critical Thinking and Interpretation of Data

Written Communication Skills

Oral Communication Skills

**Publication Requirement:** At the time of final examination, candidate must show that he/she has at least one first author (or co-first author) paper from their thesis research published in or accepted to a reputable scientific journal.

**Has student met publication requirement?** YES NO

**Comments on progress towards meeting the publication requirement:**

**Summary of Advisory Committee comments (prepared by the major professor):**

**Additional comments/feedback from major professor:**

**Is major professor satisfied with student's overall lab & research performance?** Yes No

**Major Professor Signature**

*Please enter next meeting date and send completed form/s to Graduate Coordinator.*

## **TO THE FACULTY**

### **Purpose of the Student Progress Feedback Form:**

This form records the progress or lack of progress of our students and the feedback that the student is receiving from his/her committee members.

- This document should clearly state any issues that need to be addressed and what is expected to be successful going forward. These evaluations are not perfunctory in nature and should be sufficiently documenting a student's performance.
- In the event that a U is given, it's important to document the reasons for the assignment of the U and that the reasons are communicated clearly.