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Take home messages

• **Zoonoses have multifaceted impacts**
  • Impacts include human illness, animal illness and deaths (as well as reduced productivity), trade, food distribution (wet markets), tourism
  • Resilient food systems need improved control of zoonotic diseases

• **Lack of proper surveillance is a major impediment to controlling zoonoses**
  • *If you can't measure it, you can't improve it*
  • *Measure what you treasure*
Take home messages

• Many zoonotic pathogens can be transmitted through different means (food, direct contact, airborne)
  • Need to know the contributions of different transmission routes to improve control

• Many challenges lie ahead (e.g., changes in transmission pathways, new hazards, such as antimicrobial resistance genes, and organism)
  • A multifaceted systems approach is needed (surveillance + vaccines + traditional food safety practices)
Outline and key points

• What’s a zoonosis?

• The past: tuberculosis, brucellosis, Q fever, etc.

• Today: SARS-CoV-2, Salmonella, Salmonella, E. coli, and the past

• The future: The past + today + emerging and re-emerging organisms + “zoonotic AMR genes”
A zoonotic disease is a disease which can be transmitted from animals to humans. The organism may or may not produce clinical illness in the animal.

Zoonotic diseases include:

- Direct transmission from animals to humans (e.g., rabies)
- Indirect transmission to humans through ingestion, inhalation, or contact with environments that have been contaminated with animal waste or a dead animal (e.g., leptospirosis, anthrax)
  - May include a singular (?) initial transmission event (SARS-CoV-2) or continued animal – human transmission (bovine Tb)
- A disease which has an animal reservoir but requires a mosquito or other arthropod to transmit the disease to humans (e.g., St. Louis encephalitis)

https://odh.ohio.gov/wps/portal/gov/odh/know-our-programs/zoonotic-disease-program/zdp
Zoonotic diseases - alternative definition

*Zoonotic diseases* are diseases caused by infectious agents that can be transmitted between (*or are shared by*) animals and humans
The **microbial past**...  
*is still the present in some parts of the world*

- **Tuberculosis**: *Mycobacterium bovis*
- Q fever
- Brucellosis
- *Salmonella*
- **Parasites**: (e.g., *Cryptosporidium* species, *Toxoplasma* species, *Giardia* species, and *Trypanosoma* species)
- **Viruses**: typically not foodborne
Q fever (“Query fever”)

- Caused by *Coxiella burnetii*, which can infect a large range of animals
  - Sheep and cattle as key hosts
  - Nearly worldwide distribution

“Q fever, which is caused by the bacterium *Coxiella burnetii*, has rapidly emerged in French Guiana since 1996 (1). The incidence of acute Q fever in the capital, Cayenne, is one of the highest in the world. The annual incidence of Q fever was estimated at 37 cases/100,000 persons in 1996 (2) and increased to 150 cases/100,000 persons in 2005 (3).”

Zoonotic tuberculosis

- Zoonotic tuberculosis (TB) is caused by *Mycobacterium bovis*
  - Primarily affects cattle but can impact wildlife
  - Important cause of economic losses and trade barriers that can create negative outcomes for poor and marginalized populations

- In 2016, 147,000 new cases of human zoonotic TB were estimated globally (12,500 deaths)
  - Africa most heavily affected, followed by Southeast Asia

- Insufficient surveillance data suggest that the true burden of zoonotic TB is likely underestimated

**Zoonotic tuberculosis**

- *M. bovis* transmission to humans occurs most commonly through contaminated food (often dairy or meat products), though airborne transmission can occur for those in contact with infected animals or animal products.

- Lab procedures typically utilized to detect TB do not differentiate between *M. tuberculosis* and *M. bovis*, which leads to underdiagnosis of zoonotic TB.

- Zoonotic TB can be challenging to treat, as *M. bovis* is naturally resistant to pyrazinamide, one of the four medications used in the standard anti-TB treatment. 
  - As health professionals do not typically conduct drug susceptibility testing before starting a medication regimen, zoonotic TB patients may receive inadequate treatment.

Key current microbial food safety hazards

Bacteria
- *Salmonella, Campylobacter, Enterohaemorrhagic Escherichia coli, Listeria, Vibrio cholerae*
  - 3.4 million cases of non-typhoidal *Salmonella* bloodstream (invasive) infections are estimated to occur annually, resulting in an estimated 681,316 deaths.
- Antimicrobial resistance

Viruses
- Norovirus, Hepatitis A virus

Parasites
- Fish-borne trematodes (only transmitted through food), tapeworms (e.g., *Echinococcus* spp., or *Taenia solium*), *Ascaris, Cryptosporidium, Entamoeba histolytica, Giardia*

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4451910/
The microbial future

- Some of the past + today + emerging and re-emerging organisms (species and subtypes) + “zoonotic genes”

- Need early warning systems (i.e., surveillance of both human and animal populations)
• 31 major known pathogens were estimated to cause 9.4 million episodes of foodborne illness

• Episodes of illness caused by unspecified agents, including known agents with insufficient data to estimate agent-specific illness, known agents not yet recognized as causing foodborne illness, and substances known to be in food but of unproven pathogenicity were estimated to cause 38.4 million episodes of foodborne illness and 1,686 deaths
An African *Salmonella* Typhimurium ST313 sublineage with extensive drug-resistance and signatures of host adaptation

Sandra Van Puyvelde, Derek Pickard, Koen Vandelannoote, Eva Heinz, Barbara Barbe, Tessa de Block, Simon Clare, Eve L. Coomber, Katherine Harcourt, Sushmita Sridhar, Emily A. Lees, Nicole E. Wheeler, Elizabeth J. Klemm, Laura Kuijpers, Lisette Mbuyi Kalonji, Marie-France Phoba, Dadi Falay, Dauly Ngbonda, Octavie Lunguya, Jan Jacobs, Gordon Dougan & Stijn Deboggraeve

Bloodstream infections by *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium constitute a major health burden in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA). These invasive non-typhoidal (INTS) infections are dominated by isolates of the antibiotic resistance-associated sequence type (ST) 313. Here, we report emergence of ST313 sublineage II.1 in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. Sublineage II.1 exhibits extensive drug resistance, involving a combination of multidrug resistance, extended spectrum β-lactamase production and azithromycin resistance. ST313 lineage II.1 isolates harbour an IncHI2 plasmid we name pSTM-ST313-II.1, with one isolate also exhibiting decreased ciprofloxacin susceptibility. Whole genome sequencing reveals that ST313 II.1 isolates have accumulated genetic signatures potentially associated with altered pathogenicity and host adaptation, related to changes observed in biofilm formation and metabolic capacity. Sublineage II.1 emerged at the beginning of the 21st century and is involved in ongoing outbreaks. Our data provide evidence of further evolution within the ST313 clade associated with INTS in SSA.
An example of possible “Zoonotic genes”:
antibiotic resistance genes

Scientists at two European Union agencies have combined their expertise to analyze Member State data and compile the first joint EU report on antimicrobial resistance in zoonotic bacteria affecting humans, animals, and food. Compiled by the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) and the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC), the report indicates that resistance to antimicrobials was observed in zoonotic bacteria, such as *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter*, which may cause infectious diseases transmissible between animals and humans and which can be found in foods.

The report also presents antimicrobial resistance data for non-disease causing bacteria such as indicator *E. coli* and *Enterococci*, which usually do not cause disease in humans.

EFSA News Story 12 July 2011
Take home messages

• **Zoonoses have multifaceted impacts**
  • Impacts include human illness, animal illness and deaths (as well as reduced productivity), trade, food distribution (wet markets), tourism
  • Resilient food systems need improved control of zoonotic diseases

• **Lack of proper surveillance is a major impediment to controlling zoonoses**
  • *If you can't measure it, you can't improve it*
  • *Measure what you treasure*
• Many zoonotic pathogens can be transmitted through different means (food, direct contact, airborne)
  • Need to know contributions of different transmission routes to improve control

• Many challenges lie ahead (e.g., changes in transmission pathways, new hazards, such as antimicrobial resistance genes and organism)
  • Multifaceted systems approach is needed (surveillance + vaccines + traditional food safety practices)
Thumbi Mwangi is an infectious disease epidemiologist with interest in use of epidemiological analytical and modelling tools for the control and prevention of animal diseases and improvement of human health and welfare. He trained as veterinarian at the University of Nairobi and received a Ph.D. in Infectious Disease Epidemiology from the University of Edinburgh. Based in East Africa, Thumbi is the Director of the Feed the Future Innovation Lab for Animal Health and holds the positions of Clinical Associate Professor at the Paul G Allen School for Global Animal Health, Washington State University, Senior Research Fellow at the University of Nairobi Institute of Tropical and Infectious Diseases and Chancellors Fellow in Global Health at the University of Edinburgh.
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### Research
- Development of **pen-side diagnostics**
- **Improvement of vaccines** against East Coast fever
- Integration of socio-economics to **improve adoption** of animal health interventions
- **Quantifying effect** of animal health interventions human health and nutrition

### Human Capacity Development for animal health research
- 10 PhD, 6 Masters **graduate scholarships**
- 3 post-doctoral **research fellowships**
- **Research attachments** for undergraduate students
- **Modular courses** to improve research quality
- Faculty collaborative **exchange programs**

### Institutional capacity development
- Fully equipped **molecular and diagnostics lab** for animal **diseases** at the University of Nairobi
- Support for **animal health research systems** at the University

**Food Safety and zoonoses in East Africa**

**Livestock for human nutrition, welfare & health**
Food Safety and Zoonoses

- High prevalence and likely high burden due to foodborne zoonotic pathogens
- The main clinical manifestations of foodborne diseases such as diarrhoea, septicaemias do not get laboratory diagnosis

Delia G (2015) Food safety in developing countries: an overview
Food Safety and Zoonoses surveillance

- Population Based Infectious Diseases Surveillance (PBIDS) study - HUMANS
- Population Based Animal Syndromic Surveillance (PBASS) study - ANIMALS

- Syndromic surveillance at community
  - High incidence of fever, diarrhoea, respiratory illness and deaths – in people and their animals
  - Strong correlations between human and animal illnesses from same households

GLM.nb(formula = (Total Household illnesses) ~ I(animal illness and death/10) + household size)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>2.5 %</th>
<th>97.5 %</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(animal illness and death/10)</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001 ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household size</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001 ***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- correlation between households with sick people and those with sick animals
Country-level Prioritization of zoonotic diseases

- 36 different zoonotic diseases suspected or known to be in Kenya or the East African region

**Which diseases should be priority for Kenya?**

Semi-quantitative prioritization process

1. Identifying diseases to be prioritized
2. Selection of a ranking criteria for diseases
3. Assigning weights to the ranking criteria
4. Scoring each disease and aggregation of scores

- WHO has a list of 20 NTDs, half of which are zoonotic
Country-level Prioritization of zoonotic diseases

- Priority food-borne zoonoses
  - Anthrax
  - Brucellosis
  - Rift Valley fever
  - Echinococcosis (Hydatidosis)
  - Non-typhi salmonellosis
  - Q-fever

Kenya’s top priority zoonotic disease

- Eight of 10 top zoonotic diseases are endemic
Country-level Prioritization of zoonotic diseases

Priority zoonotic diseases in 7 countries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zoonosis</th>
<th>No. countries listing disease, by rank order</th>
<th>Total no. countries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rabies</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoonotic influenza</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthrax</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brucellosis (<em>B. abortus</em> and <em>B. melitensis</em>)</td>
<td>1†*</td>
<td>4†*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemorrhagic fevers (Ebola/Marburg)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmonellosis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoonotic tuberculosis (<em>M. bovis</em>)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arbovirus infections (e.g., yellow fever and West Nile virus)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echinococcosis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptospirosis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monkeypox</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rift Valley fever</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trypanosomiasis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Countries: Thailand, Kenya, Ethiopia, Azerbaijan, Cameroon, South Africa, Democratic Republic of the Congo.
†One country had both *B. abortus* and *B. melitensis* ranked separately on the final prioritized list.

- Priority food-borne zoonoses
  - Anthrax
  - Brucellosis
  - Salmonellosis
  - Zoonotic TB
  - Echinococcosis
  - Leptospirosis
  - Rift Valley fever

Most prioritized zoonoses were endemic diseases

Building capacity on endemic zoonoses can enhance rapid detection and response to outbreaks of emerging zoonotic diseases

---

3 Halliday et al. (2017), Science 357: 146–148
Research on Zoonoses in East Africa

- Growth in zoonotic disease research
- Focus is largely on endemic diseases
- Only 11% of thesis research is published,
- Average 2.5 years from thesis production to manuscript publication

Kemunto et al 2018 BMC Infectious Diseases
What are the food-borne zoonoses issues in the region?

- Are they an important public health problem?
- What are the key scientific questions to improve their prevention and control?
- What interventions are most appropriate and how can they be optimized?
Food-borne zoonoses as a public health threat

Scarce data on estimates of the health and economic burden of foodborne zoonoses
Key research questions to improve surveillance, prevention and control

- Improving national and sub-national surveillance for foodborne zoonosis
- Identification of geographical and value chain hotspots for infection
- Efficacy of interventions against food-borne zoonoses

- Identification of RVF hotspots to target surveillance\(^a\)
- No licensed vaccine for humans, and livestock vaccines have safety concerns - "One Health" vaccine\(^b\)
- Testing efficacy of RVF vaccines as an intervention tool\(^c\)

\(^a\) Munyua et al (2016) PLoS One 11:1
\(^b\) Warimwe et al (2016) Scientific Reports 6:1
Optimization and delivery of interventions against foodborne zoonoses

• Multi-host most-pathogen systems
  • Which is (are) the reservoir species?

• Cost-effectiveness of interventions against foodborne interventions
  • Who funds and why should they?

• Planning and effectively rolling out interventions
  • Adoption of interventions and reduction/elimination of foodborne disease burden

---

Brucellosis

Seroprevalence of brucellosis in humans and livestock species by county, 2012–2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All counties % (95% CI)</th>
<th>Kajiado % (95% CI)</th>
<th>Kiambu % (95% CI)</th>
<th>Marsabit % (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human</td>
<td>16.4 (13.5–19.6)</td>
<td>15.3 (10.5–21.8)</td>
<td>2.4 (1.9–3.0)</td>
<td>46.5 (39.0–54.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livestock</td>
<td>8.0 (6.8–9.4)</td>
<td>3.3 (2.8–4.1)</td>
<td>1.2 (1.0–1.5)</td>
<td>13.5 (11.2–16.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle</td>
<td>4.1 (3.4–4.8)</td>
<td>3.3 (3.0–3.5)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.5–1.1)</td>
<td>11.2 (9.2–13.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat</td>
<td>10.7 (9.3–12.3)</td>
<td>3.6 (2.7–4.7)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.0–1.8)</td>
<td>16.1 (13.9–18.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>7.2 (6.1–8.8)</td>
<td>3.4 (2.8–4.1)</td>
<td>2.4 (1.9–3.1)</td>
<td>11.9 (10.2–13.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camel</td>
<td>11.1 (7.1–17.0)</td>
<td>11.1 (7.1–17.0)</td>
<td>11.1 (7.1–17.0)</td>
<td>11.1 (7.1–17.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI = confidence interval.

• 6-fold odds of human seropositivity in households with sero-positive animals

• Risk factors: ingestion or raw milk, handling infected material

• Brucellosis national incidence 214 (range 0 - 983) cases/100,000 people (hotspots in pastrolist regions)

• Next: Develop Kenya’s Brucellosis Control Strategy

---


*bThumbi et al (in-preparation)
Summary

• Estimates of the health and economic burden of foodborne zoonoses is a critical need
  • Surveillance systems that improve detection and attribution of the foodborne zoonoses
  • Multidisciplinary approaches to addressing impact of foodborne zoonoses

• Research on the hotspots (spatial and food-value chain) and drivers for foodborne zoonoses
  • Focus surveillance and intervention efforts
  • Identify drivers that can be manipulated to minimize risk of transmission and burden

• Implementation science for control of foodborne zoonoses
  • Optimization of delivery of available interventions (biological and behavioral)
  • Integration of these programs to existing national human and animal health programs
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Chakula Salama: A Risk-based Approach to Reducing Foodborne Disease and Increasing Production of Safe Foods in Kenya

Barbara Kowalcyk, PhD
Food Safety is a Global Public Good

- Critical to food security, nutrition.
- Serious public health issue.
  - 600 million illnesses/year
  - Children bear most of burden
  - Associated with long-term health outcomes
- Significant economic impact.
  - Medical costs, lost productivity
  - Loss of consumer confidence
  - Reduced market access
  - Increased food loss and waste
The Burden of Foodborne Disease

Every year foodborne diseases cause:

- **Almost in 10 people** to fall ill
- **33 million** healthy life years lost

Foodborne diseases can be deadly, especially in children <5

- **420,000** deaths
- Children account for **almost 1/3** of deaths from foodborne diseases

For more information: [www.who.int/foodsafety](http://www.who.int/foodsafety)

**SafeFood**

### Autoimmune Disorders

**Reactive Arthritis** – associated with many foodborne pathogens; rates vary from 2.3% to 15%.

**Guillain-Barre Syndrome** – *Campylobacter* is common trigger and accounts for 40% of cases in U.S.

### Digestive Disorders

**Irritable Bowel Syndrome** – associated with many foodborne pathogens; causes estimated 17% of cases.

**Irritable Bowel Disease** – includes Crohn’s Disease, Ulcerative Colitis.

### Neurological Disorders

**Sepsis, Meningitis, Respiratory distress**

**Paralysis, palsies, seizures, epilepsy**

**Cognitive impairment, visual/hearing impairment**

### Renal Failure & Associated Sequelae

**Hemolytic Uremic Syndrome (HUS)** – severe, life-threatening illness; leading cause of acute kidney failure in children under age 5 in U.S.; associated with STEC, *Shigella*.

**Chronic kidney disease, End stage renal failure, Chronic hypertension, Pancreatitis, Diabetes mellitus** – often secondary to HUS.

### Emerging Issues

**Schizophrenia, psycho-social disorders** – recent studies have found increased risk for toxoplasmosis but not well understood.

**Urinary tract infections**

http://journals.plos.org/plosmedicine/article?id=info:doi/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001923
Managing the Risks
Failures in the System

South Africa and the World’s Worst Listeriosis Outbreak

Ethiopia: Genesis Farm Dumps 3,000 Liters Of Milk Per Day
A Risk-Based Approach

- Proactive and data-driven
- Grounded in risk analysis
- Systematic and transparent
- Ranks risks based on public health impact
- Prioritizes allocation of resources to manage risk most effectively
- Considers other factors in decision-making (i.e. perception, cost, environmental/market impacts)
- Evaluates efficacy of risk management on continuous basis
- Involves all stakeholders

Risk-based Food Safety System

Step 1: Strategic Planning
Step 2: Public Health Risk Ranking
Step 3: Targeted Information Gathering
Step 4: Analysis and Selection of Interventions
Step 5: Design of Intervention Plan
Step 6: Monitoring and Review

Assessing and Ranking the Risks

Source: Havelaar et al., Zoon Publ Health 2007;54:103-117
From Data to Decision

Raw Material → Processing → Distribution and Storage → Consumption → Disease

Bottom-up Approach

Risk Assessment

Stakeholder opinions and values

Data

Cost-Benefit Analysis

Multi-criteria Decision Analysis

Probability of illness
Severity of Illness
Ease of implementation
Burden of disease
Economic growth
Vulnerable populations
Budget impact
Cost-effectiveness

Rank ordering
1.
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.

Decision-maker

Decision
Risk Ranking can be Challenging

- Several methods/tools out there
- But where do we start?
  - Multitude of hazards/foods
  - Number, complexity of methods
  - Resource needs
- Quantitative approach not always feasible or appropriate
- Need structure so risk ranking efforts can be built upon
- Developed preliminary guidance with FAO on how to start ranking food safety risks
Chakula Salama

- **Goal:** Improve food security and nutrition in Kenya by developing capacity for risk-based approaches to food safety, reducing risk of FBD, increasing production of safe food, and improving economic outcomes.

- **Key outcomes:**
  - Roadmap for making risk-based decisions and allocating food safety resources that can be extended to other value chains, pathogens, and/or LMIC
  - Increased resources in Kenya to implement such an approach.
Poultry in Kenya

- Important dietary component for poor and middle-class Kenyan households
- Significant source of income for women and youth
- Traditional processing often occurs in informal settings and rarely includes pathogen mitigation strategies
- Focus on *Salmonella enterica* and *Campylobacter* spp. in poultry value chains managed by women and youth farmers in peri-urban areas of Kenya
Objectives

1) Identify, in collaboration with stakeholders, food safety priorities for poultry value chains in Kenya using a risk-informed approach.

2) Characterize *Salmonella enterica* and *Campylobacter* spp. in poultry value chains managed by women and youth farmers in peri-urban areas of Kenya.

3) Develop and evaluate the efficacy of culturally and gender appropriate, practical, and scalable intervention strategies for mitigating risk of *Salmonella enterica* and *Campylobacter* in poultry that effectively account for gendered roles in poultry production.

4) Estimate the public health impact and evaluate the benefits and costs from selected intervention strategies to inform public and private decision-making.
Project Team

- The Ohio State University
  - Barbara Kowalcyk
  - Sanja Ilic
  - Kara Morgan
  - Rob Scharff
  - Ahmed Yousef

- University of Florida
  - Kathy Colverson
  - Arie Havelaar

- Kenya Medical Research Institute
  - Robert Onsare
  - Sam Kariuki

- University of Nairobi
  - Catherine Kunyanga
“As for the future, your task is not to foresee it, but to enable it.”

- Antoine de Saint-Exupery
French Writer, 1900-1944
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Kowalcyk.1@osu.edu
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Food Safety and One Health: Approaches to Reducing Foodborne Pathogens and Zoonotic Diseases
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Food Safety Research and Zoonotic Disease
Thank You!

Please join us for our next webinar on food safety and nutrition in February 2021.

Sign up for email updates through the link in the chat.
FEED THE FUTURE
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